

IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 9, 2013

Legal Description of Property

Square: 0106

Lot: 0048

Property Address: 1800 K Street NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT		
Land	49,948,800	Land	49,948,800	
Building	45,096,680	Building	45,096,680	
Total	\$ 95,045,480	Total	\$ 95,045,480	

Rationale:

The subject property is a large commercial building consisting of approximately 205,493 square feet of Net Rentable Area (NRA) which includes office and retail space. The property is well located in the Downtown CBD. The Petitioner's appeal is based on valuation and equalization and utilizes the income approach to supports its estimate of value. The dispute between the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner is the selection of the appropriate capitalization rate, the imputed office rent, the expense allowance, the OTR vacancy rate, the OTR "vacate probability" factor, the OTR lease growth rate, and the consideration of capital expenditures. The Petitioner argues that the OTR's cap rate is too low for the subject.

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) however finds that the OTR capitalization rate for what considered a Class A building is appropriate. The Petitioner does not show by the preponderance of the evidence that OTR erred in its utilization of the aforesaid capitalization rate. The RPTAC inputs all factors indicated on the Petitioner's work sheet with the exception of deducting the total amount of the projected capital expenditures and the Petitioner's capitalization rate. The RPTAC finds that the resulting valuation is within five percent of the proposed 2013 assessment and the RPTAC is therefore restrained from reducing the proposed assessment per the 5% rule contained in D.C. Official Code § 47-825.01a(e)(4)(C)(ii)(2012 Supp.). This code provision only authorizes the Commission to "raise or lower the estimated value of any real property which it finds to be more than five per centum above or below the estimated market value" of the proposed assessment for the Tax Year 2013 is therefore sustained.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Cliftine Jones

Donald Isaac

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

Richard Amato, Esq.



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.01a of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

\$88,140,000

\$131,222,400

	Date: J	anuary 29, 2013	
Legal Descr	iption of Property	•	
Square: 010	7 Lot: 0077		
Property Ac	ldress: 1875 K Street, NW		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	\$43,082,400	Land	\$43,082,400
Building	\$88,140,000	Building	\$88 140 000

Building

Total

Rationale:

Total

\$131,222,400

The subject property is a multi-tenanted office building with ground level retail space. The building was built in 2002 and contains approximately 170,316 sf of Net Rentable Area (NRA) including 8,066 sf of retail space. The building is situated on a 17,951 sf lot at the corner of 19th & K Street, within the Downtown CBD. The Petitioner's appeal is submitted on the basis of equalization and valuation, although no argument was presented at the hearing on the issue of equalization. The Petitioner claims that the Assessor for the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) committed several errors in its valuation that involved office rent estimate; operating expense allowance; vacancy rate; capital expenditures; lease growth rate; storage income; and capitalization rate. However, prior to the hearing before RPTAC, the Assessor revised his valuation worksheet and addressed most of the Petitioner's concerns by making certain adjustments and corrections, but held firm on other issues.

The Petitioner and the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) presented Income Approaches to support their respective estimates of market value. The Petitioner's claim that the OTR's estimate for office rent is too high is unsupported by any market evidence. The OTR used the actual expenses shown on the Petitioner's Income & Expense form (I & E). The vacancy rate was revised by the OTR to reflect what is typically used by the OTR for this type of property. On the issue of capital expenditures, the Commission does not agree with the Petitioner's claim that the OTR should make a deduction for the total costs of capital expenditures that are projected by the Petitioner. The Petitioner provides no "break-down" for the costs. The lease growth rate was appropriately revised by the OTR to "0". The amount for storage income shown on the OTR worksheet was deemed an error and was corrected.

The Petitioner's claim that the building is misclassified as a "trophy" building is not supported by evidence. The Petitioner's reference to published cap rate surveys as a method to prove that OTR's cap rate is too low is unconvincing since the rate utilized by the OTR is derived in a manner that takes into consideration investor leaseup costs and/or capital expenditures which are not taken into account by published surveys. The OTR's accounting for these anticipated costs reduces the risk to the typical investor and justifiably equates to a lower capitalization rate.

After making the appropriate revisions to the income analysis, the Commission finds that the deduction in the proposed value does not meet the requirements of the 5% rule contained in D.C. Official Code § 47-825.01 a(e)(4)(c)(ii)(2012 Supp.). This code provision only authorizes the Commission to "raise or lower the estimated value of any real property which it finds to be more than five per centum above or below the estimated market value" of the property. See 1776 K Street Associates v. District of Columbia, 446 A.2d 1114, 1116 (D.C. 1982). The Commission therefore sustains the assessment for TY 2013.

COMMISSION SIGNATURES

Cliftine Jones

Richard Amato, Esq.

Gregory Syphax

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 30, 2013

Legal Description of Property

Square: 0118 Lot: 0029

Property Address: 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT		
Land	78,868,000	Land	78,868,000	
Building	56,635,400	Building	49,860,000	
Total	\$ 135,503,400	Total	\$ 128,728,000	

Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The Petitioner and the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) both presented income analysis to support their values. The issues presented by the Petitioner were net rentable area (NRA), long term contract rent, long term retail contract rent, office rent, retail rent, below grade office market rent, capital expenditures, lease-up cost deductions and capitalization rate.

After reviewing the updated income and expense reports submitted by the Petitioner, OTR reduced the net rentable area, reduced the long term office contract rent and increased the long term retail contract rent. The changes made by OTR resulted in a decrease in the estimated value. The Commission has reviewed the submissions by both parties and finds that the Petitioner failed to establish that the OTR's office rent estimate is erroneous. The Commission agrees that the retail rent and the below grade office market rent should be reduced. The Commission does not agree with the Petitioner's claim that the OTR should deduct the total costs of capital expenditures that are projected by the Petitioner over the next seven years. The Assessor has appropriately given limited consideration to these costs. OTR uses a five year lease-up projection in its income analysis. The alternative ten year lease-up projection in the Petitioners analysis does not establish that OTR's analysis is erroneous. The Petitioner's reference to published cap rate surveys as a method to prove that OTR's cap rate is too low is not an accurate way to measure the OTR's cap rate. The cap rate utilized by the OTR is derived in a manner that takes into consideration investor lease-up costs and/or capital expenditures which are not taken into account by published surveys. The OTR's accounting for these anticipated costs reduces the risk to the typical investor and therefore equates to a lower capitalization rate. The Commission recalculated the worksheet after making the revisions to the retail rent and below grade office market rent and finds that a reduction in the proposed 2013 Tax Year assessment is warranted.

Square: 0118 Lot: 0029

Property Address: 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Gregory Syphax

Richard Amato, Esq.

Cliftine Jones

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date:	January 22, 2013	
gal Description of Property		-	

Lega

Square:

0118

Lot: 0818

Property Address. 1901 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	21,398,400	Land	21,398,400
Building	7,711,760	Building	7,711,760
Total	\$ 29,110,160	Total	\$ 29,110,160

Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed submissions by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner and listened to the arguments from both parties on November 20, 2012. The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The Petitioner argues that the Assessor has used an expense allowance that is too low in comparison to actual expenses. The Petitioner also states that a higher vacancy rate should be used by the Assessor. Lastly, the Petitioner argues that a cap rate within the suggested range in OTR's Pertinent Data Book of "Class B" buildings should be used in determining the property's value. The Assessor's first level review and reconciliation resulted in a lower value from the initial assessment. The Commission also applied all of the Petitioner's suggested changes in value, and used a capitalization rate within the Delta Study suggested range for "Class B" buildings. However the calculation results in a valuation that the Commission is restrained from adopting due to the "5%" per cent rule which is contained in D.C. Official Code § 47-825.01a(e)(4)(C)(ii)(2012 Supp.). This code provision only authorizes the Commission to "raise or lower the estimated value of any real property which it finds to be more than five per centum above or below the estimated market value" of the property. The proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013 is therefore sustained.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 23, 2013

Legal Description of Property

Square: 0

Lot: 0057

Property Address: 1776 K Street NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	43,795,000	Land	43,795,000
Building	44,354,100	Building	44,354,100
Total	\$ 88,149,100	Total	\$ 88,149,100

Rationale: The subject property is reportedly a large, Class A office building with ground floor retail space which was built in 1966. The building contains approximately 189,553 sq. ft. of net rentable are (NRA) and is situated on a 16,543 sq. ft. lot. The property is well located in the "West End" of the Downtown CBD. The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013).

The Petitioner's appeal is submitted on the basis of equalization and valuation. The Petitioner claims that the proposed value is unjustified due to the Assessor's overstated estimate for office rent, insufficient allowance of capital expenditures, insufficient vacancy rate, incorrect lease growth rate and low estimated capitalization rate. However, prior to the hearing at the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC), the Assessor addressed most of the Petitioner's concerns by lowering the imputed rental rate, increasing the vacancy rate, and reducing the lease growth rate. These changes were acknowledged and accepted by the Commission.

The Petitioner's argument that the Assessor's calculation for capital expenditures is too low is unpersuasive since the majority of the projected "rehab" costs will likely be spread over a future unspecified period of time. In regards to the capitalization rate issue, the Petitioner argument fails to show that the Assessor's capitalization rate is erroneous. After factoring in these changes, the resulting slight change in the assessment does not meet the requirement of the 5% rule contained in the D.C. Official Code §47-825.01a(e)(4)(C)(ii)(2012 Supp.). This code provision only authorizes the Commission to "lower the estimated value of any real property which it finds to be more than five per centum above or below the estimated market value" of the property. See 1776 K Street Associates v. District of Columbia, 446 A.2d 1114, 1116 (D.C. 1982). The Commission therefore sustains the proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Cliftine Jones

Gregory Syphax
FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

Richard Amato, Esq.



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 23, 2013

Legal Description of Property

Square: 0126 Lot: 0058

Property Address: 1750 K Street, NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	31,592,250	Land	31,592,250
Building	35,836,450	Building	35,836,450
Total	\$ 67,428,700	Total	\$ 67,428,700

Rationale:

The subject property is a large, Class B office building with ground floor retail space which was built in 1970. The building contains approximately 152,912 sf of Net Rentable Area (NRA) and is situated on a 14,041 sf lot. The property is well located in the "West End" of the Downtown CBD. The Petitioner's appeal is submitted on the basis of equalization and valuation, although no argument was presented at the hearing on the issue of equalization. The Petitioner claims that the proposed assessment is unjustified due the number of leases which are scheduled to expire in the short term and that the Assessor for the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) has committed certain errors in evaluating the property's market value. However, prior to the hearing before RPTAC, the Assessor made adjustments to his analysis that addressed most of the Petitioner's concerns. The Petitioner claims that the Assessor imputed an office rent estimate that is too high and erred by failing to recognize that 12,384 sf of below grade office space is "inferior space" and therefore incorrectly applied the same rental rate to that area as the above grade rate. The Commission reviewed the rent roll and determined that the lower level space is, in fact, being rented at a rate that is equivalent with the above grade rental rates and should therefore be treated as comparable space. The Petitioner presented no evidence to show why the space should be otherwise treated as inferior (the Commission acknowledges that lower level space is typically rented at a lower rate, but it is also known that other amenities associated with such space could possibly over-ride its lower level status and may command a higher rent).

The Petitioner's argument that the expense allowance is too low is unpersuasive since the Assessor used the actual expenses that were reported on the Income & Expense submission for TY 2013. The Assessor's capitalization rate is not shown to be unreasonable by any evidence submitted by the Petitioner. The Petitioner's reference to published cap rate surveys as a method to prove that OTR's cap rate is too low is not an accurate way to measure the OTR's cap rate. The cap rate utilized by the OTR is derived in a manner that takes into consideration investor lease-up costs and/or capital expenditures which are not taken into account by published surveys. The OTR's accounting for these anticipated costs reduces the risk to the typical investor and therefore equates to a lower capitalization rate. It is the opinion of the Commission that the Petitioner fails to establish by a preponderance of

the evidence that the Office of Tax & Revenue's proposed assessment is erroneous. The Commission hereby sustains the assessment for TY 2013.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Richard Amato, Esq. Cliffine Jones Megory Syphax

Gregory Syphax

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date: January 22, 2013	
Legal Desc	ription of Property	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Square:	0126 Lot: 0059		
Property A	ddress: 919 18 th Street NW		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMEN	T	FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	23,097,450	Land	23,097,450
Building	16,317,350	Building	16,317,350
Total	\$ 39,414,800	Total	\$ 39,414,800

Rationale;

Pursuant to the statute, the Petitioner must demonstrate by the preponderance of the evidence that the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment of real property does not represent the estimated value of the property as of January 1, 2012, valuation date.

The subject property is a multi-tenanted office building consisting of 104,477 square feet of net rentable area, located in the District's Golden Triangle. The RPTAC reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner and has determined that the main issues in this case are rents and capitalization rate. The OTR adjustments in its analysis at the first level hearing which results in a reduction in the proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013.

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission finds that the market rent utilized by the OTR in its analysis and the capitalization rate applied to the net operating income of the subject are supported by the Petitioner's income and expense statement and by the OTR's 2013 Pertinent Data Book, respectively. Accordingly, the proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013 is hereby sustained.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Cliftine Jones

Donald Isaac

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



42,997,360

91.854.800

IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

8

	Date:	January 22, 2013	
Legal Descrip	tion of Property		
Square: 012	6 Lot: 0060		
Property Addr	ess: 1775 I Street NW		
Ol	RIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	48,857,440	Land	48 857 440
Land	48,857,440	Land	48,857,440

Building

Total

Rationale:

\$

Building

Total

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed submissions by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner and listened to the arguments from both parties on November 20, 2012. The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The Petitioner specifies that the Assessor has used an expense allowance that is too low and contrary to actual expenses. The Petitioner proves that the Assessor used a capitalization rate that doesn't fall within the range of Class B buildings, according to the Delta Study. RPTAC has applied a capitalization rate within the range of the Pertinent Data Book for the District of Columbia and a reduction in the assessed value of the subject property has been applied. However the calculation results in a valuation that the Commission is restrained from adopting due to the "5%" per cent rule which is contained in D.C. Official Code § 47-825.01a(e)(4)(C)(ii)(2012 Supp.). This code provision only authorizes the Commission to "raise or lower the estimated value of any real property which it finds to be more than five per centum above or below the estimated market value" of the property. The proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013 is therefore sustained.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

42,997,360

91,854,800

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 22, 2013

Legal Description of Property

Square: 0126

Lot: 0062

Property Address: 1700 K S

1700 K Street NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	92,772,840	Land	92,772,840
Building	194,422,960	Building	194,422,960
Total	\$ 287,195,800	Total	\$ 287,195,800

Rationale;

Pursuant to the statute, the Petitioner must demonstrate by the preponderance of the evidence that the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment of real property does not represent the estimated value of the property as of January 1, 2012, valuation date.

The Petitioner contends that the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) has failed to use an accurate market rent. The Petitioner also states that the actual expenses of the building were not used in this assessment. The Assessor used a cap rate within the range specified in the 2013 OTR Pertinent Data Book, for the hearing before the RPTAC, the Assessor increased the lease-up costs and stabilized expenses. After the analysis, the resulting value was not more than "5" percent below the proposed assessed value. The Commission deems the adjusted assessed value to be correct but it is restrained from reducing said assessment to the new value because of the 5% rule contained in D.C. Official Code § 47-825.01a(e)(4)(C)(ii)(2012 Supp.). This code provision only authorizes the Commission to "raise or lower the estimated value of any real property which it finds to be more than five per centum above or below the estimated market value" of the property. The proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013 is therefore sustained.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Cliftine Jones

Donald Isaac

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



126-830

Real Property Tax Appeals Commissio

IN ACCORDANCE 1 Are hereby notified o Real Property Tax Ar WISH TO APPEAL

Date: January

BELOW

AATION

atutes vou

ed by the

Legal Description of Property

Square:

0126

Lot: 0830

Property Address: 910 17th Street NW

	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	21,731,740	Land	21,451,650
Building	7,127,350	Building	5,365,380
Total	\$ 28,859,090	Total	\$ 26,817,030

Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed submissions by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner and listened to the arguments from both parties on November 20, 2012. The bases of the appeal are Equalization and Valuation. The Petitioner specifies that the subject property is a "Class C" property. According to CoStar the subject property is a Class B property. The Petitioner also argues that the Assessor uses an imputed office rent that is too high, and that has no basis. According to CoStar the average rental rate of the subject is in accord with the rental rate used by the Assessor. The Assessor recommends that the market rent be reduced. The Assessor also recommends that the actual expenses of the subject property be used in this valuation. The loaded cap rate used by the Assessor falls within the range of loaded cap rates for Class B office buildings in Downtown Washington DC.

After consideration of both the Petitioner and the recommendation of the Assessor the proposed assessment for the Tax Year 2013 is reduced to \$26,817,010.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date:	January 24, 2013		
Legal Descr	ription of P	Property			
Square: (0126	Lot: 0852			
Property Ad	ddress: 90	00 17 th Street NW			
ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT			FINAL ASSESSMENT		
Land		38,900,000	Land		38,900,000
Building		60,041,500	Building		51,844,300
Total	\$	98,941,500	Total	\$	90,744,300

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code §47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE REAL PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATION



RPTAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Lot (s)

900 17th Street NW

852

Suffix

Square

Property Address

126

	Petitioner		Gnarei 1 Farrag	ut LLC	
3,5			STIPULATION AGREE	MENT	
EXPEDI	TIOUSLY SE	TTLE THIS MATTER	EEN THE PETITIONER AND TE R, EACH PARTY AGREES TO STILL R 2013 AS FOLLOWS:	HE DISTRICT OF COLUMB PULATE TO THE ESTIMATE	IA THAT IN ORDER TO ED MARKET VALUE FOR
			PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED V	ALUE
		LAND	\$38,900,000	\$38,900,000	
		IMPROVEMENTS	\$60,041,500	S51 £ 44,300	36
		TOTAL	\$98,941,500	\$90,744,300	
STIPULA	TED PERCE	NTAGE CHANGE:	-8.28 % STIPULATED VAL	UE CHANGE \$ 8,197,200	
JUSTIF	ICATION:	For Tax Year 20	13 based on specifics of the su	blact property the veces	
present	value of ca	pital expenditures	was recognized, and the buildi	ng's classification was ch	anged from Trophy to
Class A.					
-					
ASSESSED BEFORE T	VALUE AND THE REAL PRO	NEITHER PARTY, ITS OPERTY TAX APPEALS CT OF COLUMBIA	EEMENT, THE PARTIES AGREE THA DOPTION OF THE PARTIES' STIPUL HEIRS, ASSIGNS OR SUCCESSORS COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUCH VA	ATED ASSESSMENT, THAT VA SHALL CONTEST THIS VALU ALUE TO ANY COURT.	FIRE CITATE DESCRIPTION
SU	PERVISO	RY APPRAISER:	i Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater floor 25% of over	Date: Date: Date: Or over \$4 million.	124/13
RE	ESIDENTIA	LITAGATION MAL MANAGER: nager (Commercial properties whatiquiations)	ANAGER/ ore value change is greater than 10% or over \$5 million	Date: 2	4 JAN 2013
(Proj	HEF APPR perties where value of ter than 10% or over	AISER: hange is greater than 20% for Res r S12 million for Major Commerci	ildemilal; greater than 20% or over \$4 million for Gen. al.}	Date:	
	RECTOR; perties where value el	iange is greater than 30% or over	S20 million.}	Date:	
OV	E PETITIO VNER/AGE		Lyller Late	Date: _	1/23/13
Rev. 8/13/12		MA ANT INMIE;	- 1/20) 141		
Nev. 8/13/12					



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

\$

51,194,000

	Date:	January 22, 2013	
Legal Descripti	on of Property		
Square: 0127	Lot: 0050		
Property Addre	ss: 1722 I Street NW		
OR	IGINAL ASSESSMENT	FI	NAL ASSESSMENT
Land	37,509,860	Land	37,509,860
Building	13,684,140	Building	13,684,140

Rationale:

Total

\$

51,194,000

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed submissions by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner and listened to the arguments from both parties on November 20, 2012. The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The Petitioner specifies that the Assessor has used an expense allowance that is too low and contrary to actual expenses. The Petitioner also states that the Assessor's stabilized vacancy rate of 5.5% is insufficient and without any support. Lastly, the Petitioner argues that the Assessor has used a cap rate that is far too low. The Assessor has reasonably amended the calculation of the initial valuation to reflect the aforementioned changes by the Petitioner. However, the calculation results in a valuation that the Commission is restrained from adopting due to the "5%" per cent rule which is contained in D.C. Official Code § 47-825.01a(e)(4)(C)(ii)(2012 Supp.). This code provision only authorizes the Commission to "raise or lower the estimated value of any real property which it finds to be more than five per centum above or below the estimated market value" of the property. The proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013 is therefore sustained.

Total

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Cliftine Jones

Donald Isaac

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

-				
Date:		0	20	1 2
1 1316.	lanuary	U	///	1 4
Luce.	January	1.	20	13

Legal Description of Property

Square: 0127

Lot: 0851

Property Address: 888 17th Street NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT			FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	29,665,000	Land	29,655,000
Building	10,159,500	Building	6,714,050
Total	\$ 39,824,500	Total	\$ 36,369,050

Rationale:

Pursuant to the statute, the Petitioner must demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment of the real property by the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) does not represent the estimated value of the property as of the January 1, 2013, valuation date.

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the OTR and the Petitioner. The OTR adopted the following market assumptions in its income approach analysis:

- 1. The market rent was reduced.
- 2. The operating expenses were increased.
- 3. The vacancy factor was increased.
- 4. The present value of capital improvements was recognized.

The RPTAC accepts the OTR's capitalization rate utilized for this building and further finds that the Petitioner did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's capitalization rate is flawed. As a result of OTR's adoption of the aforementioned assumptions, OTR has recommended a reduction in the proposed assessment for the Tax Year 2013. The RPTAC accepts the OTR's recommended revised assessment and hereby reduces the proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Cliftine Jones

Donald Isaac

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

Richard Amato, Esq.



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 23, 2013

Legal Description of Property

Square:

0163

Lot: 0055

Property Address: 1050 Connecticut Avenue NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT			FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	193,803,000	Land	193,803,000
Building	217,211,200	Building	217,211,200
Total	\$ 411,014,200	Total	\$ 411,014,200

Rationale:

The subject property is a Class A, multi-tenant office building with retail space known as Washington Square. The building was built in 1982 and was reportedly renovated at a cost of approximately \$14,000,000 in 2011. The property is well located just three blocks north of the White House at the corner of Connecticut Avenue and L Street NW in the Downtown CBD. The building has a net rentable area of approximately 706,178 sq. ft. and a lot area of 64,601 sq. ft. (zoned C-4). The Petitioner's appeal was submitted on the bases of equalization and valuation. However, no argument was presented at the hearing on basis of equalization. The Petitioner's appeal is based entirely on an income approach analysis submitted by Mr. Richard Harps, MAI.

The Petitioner claims that the Assessor for the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) committed several errors in its valuation that involved long-term retail contract rent and retail market rent estimates; stabilized vacancy rate; antenna income; allowance for operating expenses; lease growth rate estimate; capital expenditures; vacate probability; and capitalization rate. However, prior to the hearing before RPTAC, the Assessor revised his valuation worksheet and addressed most of the Petitioner's concerns by making certain adjustments and corrections, but held firm on the capitalization rate. The Commission accepted the Assessor's revisions and decision to hold on the capitalization rate. The Petitioner's reference to published cap rate surveys as a method to prove that OTR's cap rate is too low is unconvincing since the rate utilized by the OTR is derived in a manner that takes into consideration investor lease-up costs and/or capital expenditures which are not taken into account by published surveys. The OTR's accounting for these anticipated costs reduces the risk to the typical investor and justifiably equates to a lower capitalization rate.

Square:

0163

Lot: 0055

Property Address:

1050 Connecticut Avenue NW

The end result of the revisions cased the Assessor to recommend a slight reduction to the proposed assessment. However, the reduction does not meet the requirement of the 5% rule contained in the D.C. Official Code §47-825.01a(e)(4)(C)(ii)(2012 Supp.). This code provision only authorizes the Commission to "lower the estimated value of any real property which it finds to be more than five per centum above or below the estimated market value" of the property. See 1776 K Street Associates v. District of Columbia, 446 A.2d 1114, 1116 (D.C. 1982). The Commission therefore sustains the proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Cliffine Iones

Gregory Syphax

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: December 20, 2012

Legal Description of Property

Square: 0387

Lot: 0866

Property Address:

400 10th Street SW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT			FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	51,741,000	Land	51,741,000
Building	43,472,600	Building	36,672,800
Total	\$ 95,213,600	Total	\$ 88,413,800

Rational:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The issues presented by the Petitioner were rental rates and capitalization rate. The Commission reviewed the evidence submitted by the Petitioner and the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR). The capitalization rate utilized by OTR was derived in a manner that takes into consideration investor lease-up costs and/or capital expenditures which are not taken into account by published surveys. These costs are estimated, projected for five years, discounted to their present value, and then credited to the property. OTR's accounting for these anticipated costs reduces the risk to the typical investor and therefore calculates to a different (generally lower) capitalization rate than published survey rates. The Petitioner did not show by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's capitalization rate is incorrect. The Commission agrees with the Petitioner's office rent and lower level rent. The Commission has recalculated the changes and finds that a reduction in the Tax Year 2013 proposed assessment is warranted.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

Hillary Lovick, Esq.

May Chan

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Market 197	Production of the Control of the Con	A231037A23	100 VOID 2011 FOR 100	
Date:	Decembe	20	2010	
I Pare	Liecemne	T* / []	71117	
Luce.	December	1 40.	2012	

Legal Description of Property

Square: 0478

Lot: 0054

Property Address: 1510 6th Ctonet NIV

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT			FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	640,320	Land	640,320
Building	354,380	Building	215,800
Total	\$ 994,700	Total	\$ 856,120

Rational:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are property damage or condition and valuation. The Petitioner states in his presentation that the property is in good condition. The Commission finds that the market rent applied by the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) exceeds what appears to be typical in this neighbor for similar properties. The Commission finds that a reduction in the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment is warranted.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.01a of the District of Columbia Statute you are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date:	December 12, 2012	
Legal Desc	ription of P	roperty		
Square:	0542 Lo	t: 0825		
Property A		5 M Street, SW		
	ORIGINAI	LASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land		18,700,000	Land	15,876,900
Building		0	Building	0
Total	\$	18,700,000	Total	\$ 15,876,900

Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeal Commission (PRTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for tax year 2013). The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The Commission reviewed the evidence submitted by the Petitioner and the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR).

OTR revised its worksheet and 'Recommended' a new proposed value for the subject property based upon market conditions. The Commission agrees with OTR's recommended value and acknowledges that a reduction in the proposed TY 2013 assessment is warranted.

Commission Signatures

May Chan Hillary Lovick, Esq.

Frank Sanders

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year <u>2013</u> as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date:	January 25, 2013	
Legal Descr	ription of Property		
Square: (0542 Lot: 0826		
Property Ac	ddress: 425 M Street SW		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	17,600,000	Land	12,159,680
Building	-0-	Building	-0-
Total	\$ 17,600,000	Total	\$ 12,159,680

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code §47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE REAL PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATION

RPTAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Square	0542	Suffix		Lot (s)	0826
Property Address			425 M Str	eet SW	
Petitioner			Waterfront 425 M St	reet IIC	Militaria de la companya de la comp

STIPULATION AGREEMENT

IT IS HEREBY AGREED BY AND BETWEEN THE PETITIONER AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA THAT IN ORDER TO EXPEDITIOUSLY SETTLE THIS MATTER, EACH PARTY AGREES TO STIPULATE TO THE ESTIMATED MARKET VALUE FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY FOR TAX YEAR __2013__ AS FOLLOWS:

	PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE
LAND	\$17,600,000	\$12,159,680
IMPROVEMENTS	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$17,600,000	\$12,159,680

STIPULATED PERCENTAGE CHANGE: __-30.9__ % STIPULATED VALUE CHANGE \$ 5,440,320 JUSTIFICATION: The subject property is a vacant lot located at the intersection of 4th and M Streets SW. Based upon property specific and market evidence, OTR reduced the initial assessment of 4 FAR @ \$94/FAR to 4 FAR @ \$65/FAR. BY ENTERING INTO THIS STIPULATION AGREEMENT, THE PARTIES AGREE THAT UPON ACCEPTANCE BY THE PARTIES AND THE REAL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSION'S ADOPTION OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATED ASSESSMENT, THAT VALUE SHALL BECOME THE ASSESSED VALUE AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS HEIRS, ASSIGNS OR SUCCESSORS SHALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FURTHER HEARINGS BEFORE THE REAL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUCH VALUE TO ANY COURT. FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: APPRAISER: SUPERVISORY APPRAISER: (All stipulations, Gea. Com. stipulations must originate with Supervisory Appraiser for char Major Commercial stipulations must originate with Supervisory Appraiser for charge igree than 25% or over \$4 mil gesigrester than 25% or over \$12 million.) APPEALS & LITAGATION MANAGER/ RESIDENTIAL MANAGER: Appeals & Litigation Manager (Commercial properties where va Residential Manager (All stipulations) CHIEF APPRAISER:

(Properties where value change is greater than 20% for Residential; greater than 20% or over \$4 million for Gen. Com. greater than 20% or over \$12 million for Major Commercial.)

DIRECTOR:
(Properties where value change is greater than 30% or over 520 million.)

Date: 1/24/13

FOR THE PETITIONER:

OWNER/AGENT:

AGENT'S COMPANY NAME: Castro Haase, PLLC

Date: 12-17-12

Rev. 8/13/12



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date	December 19, 2012	
Legal Descr	ription of Property		
Square: (0675 Lot: 0843		
Property Ad	ddress: 820 1 st Street NE		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	18,940,060	Land	18 040 060
	10,740,000	Land	18,940,060
Building	80,087,040	Building	75,521,240
Total	\$ 99,027,100	Total	\$ 94,461,300

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code § 47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE REAL PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATION



Suffix

0675

Square

Petitioner

Rev. 8/13/12

Property Address

RPTAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Lot (s)

820 1ST NE

Rosche 820 First Street Greenebaum & Rose Assoc.

0843

	IMPROVEMENTS TOTAL ENTAGE CHANGE: 4	\$18,940,060 \$80,087,040 \$99,027,100 \$4.61 % STIPULATED VALUE	\$18,940,060 \$75,521,240 \$94,461,300 CHANGE \$4,565,800
IFICATION	TOTAL ENTAGE CHANGE: 4 : Cap rate and Mar	\$99,027,100 4.61 % STIPULATED VALUE	\$94,461,300
ICATION	ENTAGE CHANGE: 4	4.61 % STIPULATED VALUE	
FICATION	: Cap rate and Mar		CHANGE \$4,565,800
anic pro	WIS STIPLII ATION ACE	EEMENT. THE PARTIES AGREE TE	HAT UPON ACCEPTANCE BY THE PARTILLATED ASSESSMENT, THAT VALUE SI
THE REAL P	ICT OF COLUMBL	COMMISSION OR ALL EADSOCH	S SHALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN F VALUE TO ANY COURT. Date: 12
Ill stipulations. Ges	tipulations must originate with Super & LITAGATION M TAL MANAGER: 1 Manager (Commercial properties w	th Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 2 rvisory Appraiser far changes greater than 25% or can appraise far changes greater than 25% or can appraise far changes greater than 10% or over SS marre value change is greater than 10% or over	Date:
rangeties where v	PRAISER: line change is greater than 20% for F r over \$12 million for Major Comme	Residential; greater than 20% or over \$4 million for reial.)	Gea. Com.
DIRECTO	\: ine change is greater than 30% or ov	ver \$20 million.)	Date:
(Properties where v		/	1 ,



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date:	December 18, 2012	
Legal Desc	ription of Property		
Square: 0	0699 Lot: 0029		
Property A	ddress: 50 M Street SE		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	11,208,140	Land	10,507,725
Building	100	Building	100
Total	\$ 11,208,240	Total	\$ 10,507,825

Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The Commission reviewed the evidence submitted by the Petitioner and the office of Tax and Revenue (OTR). OTR revised its worksheet and 'Recommended' a new proposed value for the subject property based upon market conditions. The Commission agrees with OTR's recommended value and acknowledges that a reduction in the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment is warranted.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

Hillary Lovick, Esq.

May Chan

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: December 18, 2012

Legal Description of Property

Square: 0700

Lot: 0043

Property Address: 1200 South Capital Street SE

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT		
Land	3,840,000	Land	3,600,000	
Building	3,280	Building	3,280	
Total	\$ 3,843,280	Total	\$ 3,603,280	

Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The Commission reviewed the evidence submitted by the Petitioner and the office of Tax and Revenue (OTR). OTR revised its worksheet and "Recommended" a new proposed value for the subject property based upon market conditions. The Commission agrees with OTR's recommended value and acknowledges that a reduction in the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment is warranted.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year <u>2013</u> as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date:	December 18, 2012	
Legal Desci	ription of I	Property		
Square: 0	700	Lot: 0866		
Property Ac	ddress: M	Street SE		
	ORIGINA	AL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land		13,226,840	Land	12,400,100
Building		1,000	Building	1,000
Total	\$	13,227,840	Total	\$ 12,401,100

Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The Commission reviewed the evidence submitted by the Petitioner and the office of Tax and Revenue (OTR). OTR revised its worksheet and "Recommended" a new proposed value for the subject property based upon market conditions. The Commission agrees with OTR's recommended value and acknowledges that a reduction in the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment is warranted.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

Hillary Lovick, Esq.

Petitioners have the right to appeal from an adverse decision of the Commission to the Superior Court of the District of Columbia under the applicable provisions of the D.C. Code. Appeals to Superior Court must be filed no later than September 30th of the same year. In order to file an appeal with the D.C. Superior Court, petitioners must pay full year taxes to the Office of Tax and Revenue.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date:	December 18, 2012	
Legal Desc	ription of Property	, 2012	
Square: 0	0708 Lot: 0810		
Property A	ddress: 25 Potomac Avenue SE		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	13,888,310	Land	13,888,310
Building	740	Building	740
Total	\$ 13,889,050	Total	\$ 13,889,050

Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The Petitioner failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's value for this land is incorrect. The Commission sustains the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

Hillary Lovick, Esq.

1

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date:	December 18, 2012	
Legal Descr	ription of Property	*	
Square: 0	708 Lot: 0811		
Property Ac	ldress: Potomac Avenue SE		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	15,734,800	Land	15,734,800
Building	-0-	Building	-0-
Total	\$ 15,734,800	Total	\$ 15,734,800

Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The Petitioner failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's value for this land is incorrect. The Commission sustains the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

Hillary Lovick, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

21,877,040

	Date:	December 18, 2012	
Legal Descr	ription of Property	, , , , , ,	
Square: 0	708 Lot: 0812		
Property Ac	ddress: 1610 Half Street SE		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	21,876,940	Land	21,876,940
Building	100	Building	100
Total	\$ 21,877,040	Total	\$ 21.877.040

Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The Petitioner failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's value for this land is incorrect. The Commission sustains the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

Hillary Lovick, Esq

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date:	January 24, 2013	
Legal Desc	ription of Property	-	
Square: (0710 Lot: 0014		
Property A	ddress: 1325 2 nd Street NE		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	8,197,730	Land	8,197,730
Building	34,892,690	Building	30,032,670
Total	\$ 43,090,420	Total	\$ 38,230,400

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code §47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE REAL PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATION



RPTAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

E

Lot (s)

1325 2nd Street NE

DC CY Owner LLC

0014

Suffix

0710

Square

Petitioner

Property Address

	Ÿ	PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE	
	LAND	8,197,730	8,197,730	
	IMPROVEMENTS	34,892,690	30,032,670	1.
	TOTAL	43,090,420	38,230,400	-
STIFICATI	ON:This_stipula t as determined and re	11.28 % STIPULATED VAL ation is based on an adjustmen commended by the OTR appr	t to the cost of management pro aiser filling in for the appraiser	jected in the
ESSED VALUE	AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS	OPTION OF THE PARTIES' STIPIT.	T UPON ACCEPTANCE BY THE PARTI ATED ASSESSMENT, THAT VALUE SH SHALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FU	IVY DECOROR O
APPEALS APPEALS APPEALS APPEALS RESIDEN Appeals & Litgati	ERICT OF COLUMBIA ER: SORY APPRAISER: cn. Com. stipulations must originate with if stipulations must originate with if stipulations must originate with if at the state of the	Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% theory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over SANAGER/	Date: 1/23/2013 Date: 1/23/2013 Parover St million. Date: 1/24/	
APPRAIS SUPERVI (All atlephateur, G Major Commercia APPEALS RESIDEN Appeals & Litigati Rasidential Manag CHIEF AH (Properties where	ER: SORY APPRAISER: en. Com. ellipulations must originate with a stipulations must originate with a stipulations must originate with supervise. & LITAGATION MATTIAL MANAGER: on Manager (Commercial properties wheer (All stipulations) PPRAISER:	Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% of over SANAGER NAGER Provision of the superstanding of the s	Date: 1/23/2013 Pare: 1/23/2013 Date: 1/24/ Date: 24	
SUPERVI (All stipulations, of Major Commercia APPEALS RESIDEN Appeals & Litigati Residential Manag CHIEF AR (Properties where greater than 20% DIRECTO	ER: SORY APPRAISER: cn. Com. stlpulations must originate with a stipulation must originate with a stipulation must originate with supervise LITAGATION MATTIAL MANAGER: on Manager (Commercial properties wheer (All stipulations) PRAISER: ratus change is greater than 20% for Resi or over Siz infilian for Major Commercial properties.	Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% isory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over SANAGER What we walke change is greater than 10% or over SS million. Idential; greater than 20% or over S4 million for Gen. (d.)	Date: 1/23/2013 Pare: 1/23/2013 Date: 1/24/ Date: 24	



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: December 20, 2012

Legal Description of Property

Square: 0770

Lot: 0040

Property Address: Ath Street SE

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	9,288,600	Land	8,708,063
Building	-0-	Building	-0-
Total	\$ 9,288,600	Total	\$ 8,708,063

Rational:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The Commission reviewed the evidence submitted by the Petitioner and the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR). OTR revised its work sheet and 'Recommended' a new proposed value for the subject property based upon market conditions. The Commission agrees with OTR's recommendation value and acknowledges that a reduction in the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment is warranted.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

Hillary Lovick, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date	e: January 14, 2013		
Legal Desc	ription of Property	•		
Square:	0770 Lot: 0807			
V=00#0.40000 V				
Property A		e SE		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL AS	SSESSMENT
_				
Land	98,000	Land		98,000
Building	167,560	Building		146,494
Total	\$ 265,560	Total	\$	244,494

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code § 47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE REAL PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATION



RPTAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Square	0770	Suffix	Lot (s)	0807
Property Addr	ess	JI	BG/Federal Center LLC	3307
Petitioner		1200 No	ew Jersey Avenue SE	

STIPULATION AGREEMENT

IT IS HEREBY AGREED BY AND BETWEEN THE PETITIONER AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA THAT IN ORDER TO EXPEDITIOUSLY SETTLE THIS MATTER, EACH PARTY AGREES TO STIPULATE TO THE ESTIMATED MARKET VALUE FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY FOR TAX YEAR ___2013__ AS FOLLOWS:

	PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE
LAND	\$98,000	\$98,000
IMPROVEMENTS	\$167,560	\$146,494
TOTAL	\$265,560	\$244,494

STIPULATED PERCENTAGE CHANGE: __-7.9__ % STIPULATED VALUE CHANGE \$ 21,066

JUSTIFICATION: _The subject property is the Federal Department of Transportation campus, located at the intersection of M Street and New Jersey Avenue SE. The reduction in the assessment of the subject property is based upon the review of the petitioner's income and expense statement for tax year 2013. The entire campus includes Lots 807, 808, and 809.

BY ENTERING INTO THIS STIPULATION AGREEMENT, THE PARTIES AGREE THAT UPON ACCEPTOPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSION'S ADOPTION OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATED ASSESSM ASSESSED VALUE AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS HEIRS, ASSICING OR SUCCESSORS SHALL	PTANCE BY THE PARTIES AND THE
ASSESSED VALUE AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS HEIRS, ASSIGNS OR SUCCESSORS SHALL CONTE BEFORE THE REAL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUCH VALUE TO ANY C	ENT, THAT VALUE SHALL BECOM ST THIS VALUE IN FURTHER HEA OURT,
APPRAISER:	Date:
SUPERVISORY APPRAISER: (All stipulations. Gen. Com. stipulations must originate with Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over \$4 million. Major Commercial stipulations must originate with Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over \$12 million.)	Date: 1/08/13
APPEALS & LITAGATION MANAGER/ RESIDENTIAL MANAGER: Appeals & Litigation Manager (Commercial properties where value change is greater than 10% or over \$5 million.) Residential Manager (All stipulations)	Date:
CHIEF APPRAISER: (Properties where value change is greater than 20% for Residential; greater than 20% or over \$4 million for Gen. Com. greater than 20% or over \$12 million for Major Commercial.)	Date:
DIRECTOR: (Properties where value change is greater than 30% or over \$20 million.)	Date:
OWNER/AGENT:	Date: 12-20-12
AGENT'S COMPANY NAME: Castvo Haase PLLC	

Rev. 8/13/12



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date	: January 14, 2013		
Legal Desc	ription of Pro	perty	, , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Square:	0770 Lot:	0808			
Property A	ddress: 1200	New Jersey Avenue	SE		
		ASSESSMENT	, SE	FINAL AS	SSESSMENT
Land		313,130	Land		313,130
Building		616,320	Building		542,600
Total	\$	929,450	Total	\$	855,730

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code § 47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE REAL PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATION

JAN 1 0 2013

APPEALS COMMISSION

RPTAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Square	0770	Suffix		2-		
Property Add	rana .	Sullix	Lot (s)	0808		
Petitioner	1633	J	BG/Federal Center LLC			
retitioner		1200 New Jersey Avenue SE				
		STIPULATIO	N AGREEMENT			

IT IS HEREBY AGREED BY AND BETWEEN THE PETITIONER AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA THAT IN ORDER TO EXPEDITIOUSLY SETTLE THIS MATTER, EACH PARTY AGREES TO STIPULATE TO THE ESTIMATED MARKET VALUE FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY FOR TAX YEAR ___2013 __ AS FOLLOWS:

	PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE
LAND	\$313,130	
IMPROVEMENTS	\$616,320	\$313,130
TOTAL		\$542,600
	\$929,450	\$855,730

STIPULATED PERCENTAGE CHANGE: __-7.9__ % STIPULATED VALUE CHANGE \$ 73,720

JUSTIFICATION: _The subject property is the Federal Department of Transportation campus, located at the intersection of M Street and New Jersey Avenue SE. The reduction in the assessment of the subject property is based upon the review of the petitioner's income and expense statement for tax year 2013. The entire campus includes Lots 807, 808, and 809.

BY ENTERING INTO THIS STIPULATION AGREEMENT, THE PARTIES AGREE THAT UPON ACCEPTANCE BY THE PARTIES AND THE REAL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSION'S ADOPTION OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATED ASSESSMENT, THAT VALUE SHALL BECOME THE ASSESSED VALUE AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS HEIRS, ASSIGNS OR SUCCESSORS SHALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FURTHER HEARINGS BEFORE THE REAL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUCH VALUE TO ANY COURT.

BEFORE THE REAL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUCH VALUE TO ANY C	ST THIS VALUE IN FURTHER HEAR)
APPRAISER:	Date: 13
SUPERVISORY APPRAISER: (All stipulations. Gen. Com. stipulations must originate with Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over 54 million. A DDD 1.7 (2017)	Date: 4/08/13
APPEALS & LITAGATION MANAGER/ RESIDENTIAL MANAGER: Appeals & Litigation Manager (Commercial properties where value change is greater than 10% or over \$5 million.)	Date:
CHIEF APPRAISER: (Properties where value change is greater than 20% for Residential; greater than 20% or over \$4 million for Gen. Com. greater than 20% or over \$12 million for Major Commercial.)	Date:
DIRECTOR: (Properties where value change is greater thun 30% or over \$20 million.)	Date:
FOR THE PETITIONER: OWNER/AGENT:	Deta: 19 5-19
AGENT'S COMPANY NAME: Casho/hase PLP C	Date: 195-13



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date:	January 14, 2013	
Legal Desc	ription of Property	•	
Square:	0770 Lot: 0809		
1	201.		
Property A	ddress: 1200 New Jersey Avenue S	SE	
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	108,776,430	Land	108,776,430
Building	527,731,540	Building	501,358,786
Total	\$ 636,507,970	Total	\$ 610,135,216

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code § 47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE REAL PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATION



RPTAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Square	0770	Suffix	Lot (s)	0809
Property Add	ress	JBG/Federal Center LLC		0009
Petitioner		1200 New Jersey Avenue SE		
			NAGREEMENT	

IT IS HEREBY AGREED BY AND BETWEEN THE PETITIONER AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA THAT IN ORDER TO EXPEDITIOUSLY SETTLE THIS MATTER, EACH PARTY AGREES TO STIPULATE TO THE ESTIMATED MARKET VALUE FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY FOR TAX YEAR ___2013 __ AS FOLLOWS:

	PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE
LAND	\$108,776,430	\$108,776,430
IMPROVEMENTS	\$527,731,540	\$501,358,786
TOTAL	\$636,507,970	\$610,135,216

STIPULATED PERCENTAGE CHANGE: __-4.1__ % STIPULATED VALUE CHANGE \$ 26,372,754

Rev. 8/13/12

JUSTIFICATION: _The subject property is the Federal Department of Transportation campus, located at the intersection of M Street and New Jersey Avenue SE. The reduction in the assessment of the subject property is based upon the review of the petitioner's income and expense statement for tax year 2013. The entire campus includes Lots 807, 808, and 809.

BY ENTERING INTO THIS STIPULATION AGREEMENT, THE PARTIES AGREE THAT UPON ACCEING PROPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSION'S ADOPTION OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATED ASSESSM ASSESSED VALUE AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS HEIRS, ASSIGNS OR SUCCESSORS SHALL CONTENDED THE REAL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUCH VALUE TO ANY COMMISSION OR APPEA	ENT, THAT VALUE SHALL BECOM
APPRAISER:	Date: 144.8 3
SUPERVISORY APPRAISER: (All stipulations. Gen. Com. stipulations must originate with Supervisory Apprairer for changes greater than 25% or over \$4 million. Major Commercial stipulations must originate with Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over \$12 million.)	Date: 1/08/13
APPEALS & LITAGATION MANAGER/ RESIDENTIAL MANAGER: Appeals & Litigation Manager (Commercial properties where value change is greater than 10% or over \$5 million.) Residential Manager (All stipulations)	Date:
CHIEF APPRAISER: (Properties where value change is greater than 20% for Residential; greater than 20% or over \$4 million for Gen. Com. greater than 20% or over \$12 million for Major Commercial.)	Date:
DIRECTOR: (Properties where value change is greater than 30% or over \$20 million.)	Date:
OWNER/AGENT:	Date: /2-20-12_
AGENT'S COMPANY NAME: Casho Haase PLLC	



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date	January 4, 2013	
Legal Desc	ription of Property	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Square: 3	3038 Lot: 0094		
Property A	ddress: 3509 Georgia Avenue NW		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	3,335,220	Land	3,335,220
Building	66,160	Building	66,160
Total	\$ 3,401,380	Total	\$ 3,401,380

Rationale:

The subject of this appeal is a one story, 3,528 sq. ft. laundromat building located on an 18,529 square foot lot that is zoned C-3-A (4-FAR). The Petitioner's appeal for a reduction in the proposed assessment was based on property condition and classification, equalization and valuation. The Petitioner did not provide any evidence for the claim of condition or classification. Instead, the appeal was based entirely on references to poor marketing conditions, lack of financing, and certain other assumptions which were unsupported by any convincing evidence, notable facts, or market data.

The Commission recognizes that the subject's market value lies predominantly in the land. Therefore, the best evidence of value was submitted by the Assessor who provided market land sales - three of which were sold in 2010 and 2011 and are located within the subject's immediate market area (all fronting on Georgia Avenue within one to three blocks from the subject). These sales indicated a value range for the subject property of \$46.00 to \$60.00 per point of FAR. The proposed assessment on the subject's land is based on a rate of \$45.00 per point of FAR. The Commission therefore agrees with the Assessor's analysis and sustains the proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

May Chan

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date	: November 28, 2012	2
Legal Desc	ription of Pr	operty		
Square:	4510 L	ot: 0152		
Property A	ddress: 165	3 Benning Road NE		
ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT			FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land		1,289,250	Land	1,091,000
Building		1,000	Building	1,000
Total	\$	1,290,250	Total	\$ 1,092,000

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code §47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE REAL PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATION



RPTAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Square	are 4510		Suffix	Lot (s)	0152
Property Ad	dress	1653 Bennir	ng Rd NE		
Petitioner -	Chisto	pher Hauser			

STIPULATION AGREEMENT

IT IS HEREBY AGREED BY AND BETWEEN THE PETITIONER AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA THAT IN ORDER TO EXPEDITIOUSLY SETTLE THIS MATTER, EACH PARTY AGREES TO STIPULATE TO THE ESTIMATED MARKET VALUE FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY FOR TAX YEAR _____ AS FOLLOWS:

	PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE
LAND	1289250	1091000
IMPROVEMENTS	1000	1000
TOTAL	1290250	1092000

STIPULATED PERCENTAGE CHANGE: 15 % STIPULATED VALUE CHANGE \$ 198250

JUSTIFICATION:

The assessor conducted a review of market sales data and appraisals relating to this property. The review indicated that an adjustment in the proposed assessment is warranted.

SMEST

BY ENTERING INTO THIS STIPULATION AGREEMENT, THE PARTIES AGREE THAT UPON ACCEPTANCE BY THE PARTIES AND THE REAL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSION'S ADOPTION OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATED ASSESSMENT, THAT VALUE SHALL BECOME THE ASSESSED VALUE AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS HEIRS, ASSIGNS OR SUCCESSORS SHALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FURTHER HEARINGS BEFORE THE REAL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUCH VALUE TO ANY COURT.

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
APPRAISED.	Date: 11/14/11 D
SUPERVISORY APPRAISER: (All stipulations. Gen. Com. stipulations must originate with Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over \$4 million. Major Commercial stipulations must originate with Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over \$12 million.)	Date:
APPEALS & LITAGATION MANAGER/	/ /
DECIDENTIAL MANAGED	Date: 11/16/2012
CHIEF APPRAISER: (Properties where value change is greater than 20% for Residential; greater than 20% or over \$4 million for Gen. Com. greater than 20% or over \$12 million for Major Commercial.)	Date:
DIRECTOR:	Data:
(Properties where value change is greater than 30% or over \$20 million.)	Date:
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	
OWNER/AGENT: CHRISTOPHER HAUSER D	Date: 11/19/2012
AGENT'S COMPANY NAME:	



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date	e: December 19, 2012	
Legal Desc	ription of Property		
Square:	4516 Lot: 0208		
Property A	ddress: 2301 Benning Road NE		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	1,330,880	Land	1 107 700
Laria	1,550,680	Land	1,107,720
Building	31,730	Building	30,830
Total	\$ 1,362,610	Total	\$ 1,138,550

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code § 47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE REAL PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATION ***

RPTAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Square		PA 4516	Suffix	Lot (s)	A 0208	
Property Address		2301 Benning Rd NE				
Petitioner	Chisto	opher Hauser				

STIPULATION AGREEMENT

IT IS HEREBY AGREED BY AND BETWEEN THE PETITIONER AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA THAT IN ORDER TO EXPEDITIOUSLY SETTLE THIS MATTER, EACH PARTY AGREES TO STIPULATE TO THE ESTIMATED MARKET VALUE FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY FOR TAX YEAR _____ AS FOLLOWS:

	PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE
LAND	1330880	1107720
IMPROVEMENTS	31730	30830
TOTAL	1362610	1138550

STIPULATED PERCENTAGE CHANGE: 16% STIPULATED VALUE CHANGE \$ 224060

JUSTIFICATION:

The assessor conducted a review of market sales data and appraisals relating to this property. The review indicated that an adjustment in the proposed assessment is warranted.

BY ENTERING INTO THIS STIPULATION AGREEMENT, THE PARTIES AGREE THAT UPON ACCEPTANCE BY THE PARTIES AND THE REAL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSION'S ADOPTION OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATED ASSESSMENT, THAT VALUE SHALL BECOME THE ASSESSED VALUE AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS HEIRS, ASSIGNS OR SUCCESSORS SHALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FURTHER HEARINGS BEFORE THE REAL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUCH VALUE TO ANY COURT.

APPRAISER:	Date: 11/14/12
SUPERVISORY APPRAISER: (All stipulations. Gen. Com. atlandations must originate with Supervisory Appraiser for Major Commercial stipulations must originate with Supervisory Appraiser for changes.	MANN Date: ////// manges greater than 25% or over \$4 million. greater than 25% or over \$12 million.
APPEALS & LITAGATION MANAGER/ RESIDENTIAL MANAGER: Appeals & Litigation Manager (Commercial properties where value change is greater to Residential Manager (All tiquitation)	oue Collice Date: 11/16/2012
CHIEF APPRAISER: (Properties where value change is preater than 20% for Resistantial; greater than 20% of over 212 million for Major Communical.)	Date:
DIRECTOR: (Properties where value change is greater than 30% as over \$20 million.)	Date:
OWNER/AGENT: CHRISTOF	Date: 12/3/2012
AGENT'S COMPANY NAME:	